

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|------------------|------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/689,471 | 9,471 10/20/2003 | | Tongbi Jiang | MCT.0015C2US (97-0524.04) | 4162 | |
| 21906 | 7590 | 07/25/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| TROP PRI | | • | TRINH, I | TRINH, MINH N | | |
| 1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750 HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 3729 | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/689,471 | JIANG ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Minh Trinh | 3729 | | |

| Defens the Fillian of an Annual Duick | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | - | | | | | |
| | Minh Trinh | 3729 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>14 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | |
| | = | in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later In | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In nowever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F | | | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | is of the date of e appeal. Since | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | | the issues for | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | • | * | (5=6) | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided belew or appended. | l-be entered and an o | xplanation of | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) rejected: 34-39. | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None. | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | ils to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

The amendment to the claim languages has changed the scope of claims which clearly required additional search and/or consideration. Note that the claims as originally filed directed to a method of making a stencil rather than the method of making and using of the stencil as now claimed. Further, the Office generally does not permit shift of invention (see section 819 of the MPEP).

PRIMARY EXAMINER